



- 4119 White Bear Parkway, St. Paul, MN 55110 USA
- Phone: (651) 429-1100, Fax: (651) 429-1122
- Toll Free: (800) 4-CORTEC, E-mail: info@cortecvci.com
- cortecvci.com • corteclaboratories.com

WVTR test results – VCI films

To: Marc Bienvenu
marc.bienvenu@interface-technologies.com
ITEC - InterfaceTechnolgies
51, rue Deleuvre
69004 LYON, France

From: Cortec Laboratories, Inc.
4119 White Bear Parkway
St. Paul, MN 55110

cc: Boris Miksic
Cliff Cracauer
Robert Kean
Jay Zhang
Mike Gabor
Ivana Radic Borsic

Project #: 17-227-1916

Results reported and approved by:

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Robert T. Kean". The signature is written in a cursive style.

Robert T. Kean, Ph.D.
Laboratory Manager



Background: VCI film competitor Propagroup claims that they have the best WVTR (Water Vapor Transmission) for VCI films. The test request was submitted to test WVTR for Cortec films (manufactured by Interface) and see how it compares with Propagroup's film. Test method DIN 53122-1 was specified for testing (23°C, 85% RH). However, this is not a method normally run in the US. In discussion with the testing lab, it was determined that the Cup method of ASTM E96 was equivalent to DIN 53122-1. The test method was approved by Interface and testing initiated.

Sample Received:

Five film samples were received Nov. 3, 2017, all in good condition. They were identified as follows:

Cortec:

80 micron

100 micron

150 micron

Competitor (Propak):

75 micron

100 micron

The Cortec films are all identified as having a coex (coextruded) 3 layer construction. The structure of the Propak films was not specified.

Method:

Test methods: Water Vapor Transmission (WVTR) ASTM E96 Cup method, 23°C, 85% Relative Humidity⁺

⁺Testing conducted by:

Mocon Laboratories, 7500 Mendelssohn Ave. N. Minneapolis, MN 55428.

Procedure:

Samples were tested in triplicate per Method ASTM E96 Cup method, 23°C, 85% Relative Humidity.

Test samples were returned after WVTR testing. Thickness was measured by caliper (in 3 places for each test piece), to get an average thickness per test piece. The Average Measured Thickness below represents an average of the 3 test pieces (for each sample).

Results:

See attached certificates of analysis for individual test results. A summary is included in the table below:

| Company | Listed Thickness (μ) | Avg. Measured Thickness (μ) | WVTR (g/m ² -day) | | |
|---------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------|-----------|
| | | | Avg | Median | Std. Dev. |
| Cortec | 80 | 91 | 1.59 | 1.67 | 0.14 |
| Cortec | 100 | 98 | 2.14 | 1.86 | 0.50 |
| Cortec | 150 | 99 | 1.57 | 1.58 | 0.03 |
| Propak | 75 | 73 | 2.84 | 2.94 | 0.76 |
| Propak | 100 | 93 | 2.17 | 2.01 | 0.39 |

Interpretations: The measured thickness values are reasonably consistent with the listed thickness values, except for the Cortec sample identified as 150 micron (μ) thickness; which appears to also be a 100 μ film. For the WVTR results, both the Average and Median values are reported. For small data sets, the Median values are often more indicative of a typical value as they are less susceptible to measurement outliers.

The most direct WVTR comparison is between the Cortec and Propak films at 100 μ thicknesses. The data shows that the Cortec films are equal to or better than the Propak film within the variability of the test. The 80 μ Cortec film also shows better WVTR than the both of the Propak samples; with the Average Measured Thickness actually being comparable to the "100 μ " Propak sample.

Typically, the WVTR properties of comparable films (same composition and manufacturing process) should be inversely proportional to thickness; especially with monolayer films. Thus, the WVTR of a 200 μ film would be expected to be approximately $\frac{1}{2}$ that of a 100 μ film. That relationship is approximately followed when comparing the WVTR results for the Propak 75 μ and 100 μ films. However, with coextruded (Coex) films, interfacial effects at the layer boundaries can also significantly influence the observed WVTR values. These interfacial effects are further a function of the composition of the layers as well as processing effects (degree of orientation, polymer crystallinity and crystal structure, etc.) This may account for some of the differences observed between the 3 Cortec films as well as the overall lower WVTR values for the Cortec films compared to the Propak films.



MOCON Laboratory
7500 Mendelssohn Ave. N | Minneapolis, MN 55428 | USA

ASTM E96 Water Vapor Transmission Rate Results Report

MOCON Job Number 446433-1

PO#: 104788

Cortec Corporation-Main
Attn: Pam Rue
4119 White Bear Parkway, St. Paul, MN 55110

Test Conditions:

| | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|------------------|---------|---------|
| Test Gas | Water Vapor | Test Temperature | 23.0 °C | 73.4 °F |
| Test Gas Concentration | 85% RH | | | |

Test Results:

| Sample Identification | Mocon ID | Water Vapor Transmission Rate | | Steady State or Test Duration* |
|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Replicate | g/(m ² -day) | |
| Cortec 80µ Film | 9352.001 | A | 1.67 | Steady State |
| | | B | 1.67 | Steady State |
| | | C | 1.43 | Steady State |
| Cortec VCI Film 100µ | 9352.002 | A | 2.72 | Steady State |
| | | B | 1.84 | Steady State |
| | | C | 1.86 | Steady State |
| Cortec VCI Film 150µ | 9352.003 | A | 1.58 | Steady State |
| | | B | 1.54 | Steady State |
| | | C | 1.59 | Steady State |
| Competitor: Propack VCI Film 75µ | 9352.004 | A | 2.03 | Steady State |
| | | B | 2.94 | Steady State |
| | | C | 3.55 | Steady State |
| Competitor: Propack VCI Film 100µ | 9352.005 | A | 2.01 | Steady State |
| | | B | 1.89 | Steady State |
| | | C | 2.62 | Steady State |

Note: Above sample was analyzed using ASTM E96 Cup Test Method. *If Steady State has not been reached, then the transient data point (normally at 120 hours unless additional time has been purchased) will be reported. To

This information represents our best judgement based on work done, but the company (MOCON) assumes no liability whatsoever in connection with the use of information or findings contained herein. This report shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the company (MOCON). Any deviations from, additions to, or exclusions from the test method shall be noted in the remarks.

End of Report

Page 1 of 1



MOCON Laboratory
7500 Mendelssohn Ave. N | Minneapolis, MN 55428 | USA

ASTM E96 Water Vapor Transmission Rate Results Report

MOCON Job Number 446433-1

PO#: 104788

convert the above results to 100in²/day, divide the above values by 15.5. The results relate only to the specific items tested.

Remarks: All samples were analyzed with a test area of 28.8 cm².

Date: 10/26/2018

Test Operator: CSKagaly Date: 10/26/18 Authorized by: Joel Fischer Date: 10/29/18
Carrie Skagerberg Joel Fischer, Lab Manager

This information represents our best judgement based on work done, but the company (MOCON) assumes no liability whatsoever in connection with the use of information or findings contained herein. This report shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the company (MOCON). Any deviations from, additions to, or exclusions from the test method shall be noted in the remarks.

End of Report

Page 2 of 2

Litho in U.S.A.

© GOES 340